

**DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE
COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRISTS OF ONTARIO**

B E T W E E N:

THE COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRISTS OF ONTARIO

-AND-

DR. GORDON NG

NOTICE OF HEARING

**THE INQUIRIES, COMPLAINTS, AND REPORTS COMMITTEE OF THE
COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRISTS OF ONTARIO** has referred the following allegations
about you to the Discipline Committee:

1. Dr. Ng. committed an act or acts of professional misconduct as provided by paragraph 51(1)(c) of the Health Professions Procedural Code which is Schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act*, 1991, S.O. 1991, C.18, and defined in the following paragraphs of Ontario Regulation 119/94 and as set out in the particulars at Schedule "A":
 - a. paragraph 1.7 engaged in the practice of the profession while in a conflict of interest as described in Part II;
 - b. paragraph 1.24 failed to make or maintain the records required by Part IV of the Regulation;
 - c. paragraph 1.25 falsified a record relating to a member's practice;
 - d. paragraph 1.28 submitted or allowed to be submitted an account for professional services that he knew or ought to have known is false or misleading;
 - e. paragraph 1.36 contravened, by act or omission, the Act, the Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991 or the regulations under either of those Acts; and
 - f. paragraph 1.39 engaged in conduct or performed an act that, having regard to all the circumstances, would reasonably be regarded by members as disgraceful, dishonourable, unprofessional or unethical.

TAKE NOTICE THAT THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE WILL HOLD A HEARING pursuant to the provisions of the Health Professions Procedural Code (the "Code") which is Schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act*, 1991, S.O. 1991, c.18 and the Discipline Committee's Rules of Procedure for the purpose of deciding whether you committed an act or acts of professional misconduct.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT a hearing will be held before a panel of the Discipline Committee of the College of Optometrists of Ontario on a date to be set.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT at the hearing a panel of the Discipline Committee will do the following:

1. Consider the allegations of professional misconduct;
2. Make its findings based exclusively on evidence admitted before it; and
3. Determine whether in respect of the allegations you have committed an act or acts of professional misconduct.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to subsection 51(2) of the Code, if the Panel finds you committed an act or acts of professional misconduct, it may make an order doing one or more of the following:

1. Directing the Registrar to revoke your certificate of registration.
2. Directing the Registrar to suspend your certificate of registration for a specified period of time.
3. Directing the Registrar to impose specified terms, conditions and limitations on your certificate of registration for a specified or indefinite period of time.
4. Requiring you to appear before the panel to be reprimanded.
5. Requiring you to pay a fine of not more than \$35,000 to the Minister of Finance.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to section 53.1 of the Code, if the Panel finds you committed an act or acts of professional misconduct the Panel may make an Order requiring you to pay all or part of the College's legal costs and costs and expenses incurred in investigating this matter and conducting the hearing.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT you are entitled to be represented by counsel at the said Hearing and to call witnesses and to adduce evidence in answer to the allegations set out in this Notice of Hearing.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT a member whose conduct is being investigated in proceedings before the Discipline Committee is entitled to certain disclosure of evidence pursuant to section 42 of the Code. To facilitate that process, you or your lawyer may contact directly the lawyer for the College of Optometrists of Ontario. The College's lawyer in this matter is:

Julia Martin Law
440 Laurier Avenue West, Suite 200
Ottawa ON
K1R 7X6

Tel: (613) 513-6735
Email: julia@juliamartinlaw.com

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT if you do not attend at the Pre-Hearing Conference on the date to be set by the Discipline Committee, or the subsequent dates for the hearing, the panel of the Discipline Committee may proceed in your absence and you will not be entitled to any further notice.

DATED at Toronto, Ontario this 8 day of January, 2026

**COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRISTS
OF ONTARIO**

65 St. Clair Avenue East
Suite 900
Toronto, ON
M4T 2Y3



**Joe Jamieson, M.Ed, OCT
Registrar and Chief Executive
Officer**

TO: Dr. Gordon Ng

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Schedule “A”

1. At all material times, Dr. Gordon Ng was an optometrist duly registered with the College of Optometrists of Ontario.

Practising in a Conflict of Interest

2. In or about 2022, Dr. Ng worked as an independent contractor at Super Seven Clinic (“Super Seven”) in Pickering, Ontario which was owned by [REDACTED].
3. At no time did Dr. Ng enter into a written agreement with Mr. [REDACTED] as required by subsection 4(5) of Ontario Regulation 119/94.
4. It is therefore alleged that Dr. Ng engaged in the practice of the profession while he was in a conflict of interest.

Improper use of Receipts

5. In or about 2022, Dr. Ng removed blank receipts from Super Seven.
6. Dr. Ng issued two of those Super Seven receipts to his patients, Patient X and Patient Y, for eye examinations he conducted.
7. Dr. Ng did not conduct those eye examinations at Super Seven.
8. It therefore alleged that Dr. Ng issued receipts that he knew or ought to have known were false.

Failure to Maintain Records

9. The College appointed investigators, to investigate. And, from in or about August of 2024, to in or about April of 2025, an investigator requested that Dr. Ng provide her with copies of the patient records for Patient X and Patient Y.
10. Dr. Ng has failed to provide those records to the College investigator or the College.
11. It is therefore alleged that Dr. Ng failed to maintain the records for Patient X and Patient Y as required by section 10 of Ontario Regulation 119/94.

Failure to Co-operate with Investigator

12. On September 9, 2024, the investigator sent Dr. Ng an email requesting documents and copied his legal counsel. Neither Dr. Ng nor his counsel responded to her.
13. The investigator sent follow-up emails to Dr. Ng on October 1 and November 13, 2024, but Dr. Ng did not respond to her until November 28, 2024. However, in his response he still did not provide the requested documents and asked that she send him her original request for documents again.
14. The investigator re-sent her original letter the next day, on November 29, 2024, to Dr. Ng.
15. On December 10, 2024, the investigator sent Dr. Ng a follow-up email and asked when he would be providing the requested documentation. Dr. Ng failed to respond.

16. Dr. Ng emailed the investigator on January 2, 2025. He explained he had been trying to reach his lawyer, but that his lawyer was very busy. He said he hoped to get in touch with him soon to set up an appointment.
17. The investigator followed up with Dr. Ng again by email on January 13, 2025, but Dr. Ng failed to respond.
18. On January 17, 2025, the investigator emailed Dr. Ng and his lawyer and provided a deadline of January 31, 2025, to provide her with the requested documentation. Neither Dr. Ng nor his lawyer responded to the investigator.
19. It is alleged that Dr. Ng failed to co-operate with the investigator as required contrary to subsection 76(3.1) of the Health Professions Procedural Code (the “Code”) which is Schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, S.O. 1991 c. 18.
20. Dr. Ng therefore committed an act or acts of professional misconduct as provided by paragraph 51(1)(c) of the *Health Professions Procedural Code* which is Schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, S.O. 1991 c.18, and defined in the following paragraphs of Ontario Regulation 119/94 made under the *Optometry Act, 1991*, S.O. 1991 c. 35:
 - a. paragraph 1.7 engaged in the practice of the profession while in a conflict of interest as described in Part II;
 - b. paragraph 1.24 failed to make or maintain the records required by Part IV of the Regulation;
 - c. paragraph 1.25 falsified a record relating to a member’s practice;
 - d. paragraph 1.28 submitted or allowed to be submitted an account for professional services that he knew or ought to have known is false or misleading;
 - e. paragraph 1.36 contravened, by act or omission, the Act, the Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991 or the regulations under either of those Acts; and
 - f. paragraph 1.39 engaged in conduct or performed an act that, having regard to all the circumstances, would reasonably be regarded by members as disgraceful, dishonourable, unprofessional or unethical.